

THE CANADIAN SOCIETY OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
LA SOCIÉTÉ CANADIENNE DES ARCHITECTES PAYSAGISTES DU CANADA

Minutes of the meeting of the Board of Governors, held in the Hotel Château Versailles in Montreal and the University of Montreal on March 20 and 21st 1987.

PRESENT: Cornelia Hahn Oberlander President
Bradley Johnson President Elect
Robert Gibbs AALA
Vincent Asselin AAPQ
Peter Klynstra APALA
Cameron Man BCSLA
David Wagner MALA
Ed. Fife OALA
Doug Clark SALA
John Altorio Sec.-Treasurer
Pierre Vachon Executive Director
M. de Billancourt Executive Sec.
Guests
Walter Kehm Env. Committee
Peter Jacobs IFLA Rep.
Bill Crompton Prof. Award
Don Graham LACF

*Done
but for [unclear]*

Cameron Man arrived at 10.00 a. m. at the University of Montreal.

1. INTRODUCTION (Breakfast meeting at Château Versailles)

The President called the meeting to order at 7:30 a.m., introduced new members of the Board, welcomed everyone and congratulated and thanked Vincent Asselin, AAPQ President, for having arranged the meeting.

2. PROPOSED AGENDA

The President introduced new items to fill the gap: 14 to 19:

15. Counselling of students
16. L.A.R. - Proposal by N. Van Vliet (attached)
17. Letter from insurance broker - V. Asselin (attached)
18. Baker Foundation (attached)
19. ASLA Chapter discussion
20. Closing remarks of the President

MOTION: It was moved by P. Klynstra, seconded by V. Asselin that the proposed agenda be adopted as amended above.

CARRIED unanimously

3. ADOPTION OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

Page 4: D. Wagner noted that the President's report for Manitoba was submitted and distributed within the Scrub journal and should be acknowledged as 4.7 of page 4.

Page 3: P. Klynstra commented that affiliation to the CSLA should not be viewed as opposed to, but rather as an addition to Students Chapters in that each individual should also be affiliated with the CSLA.

Page 5: J. Altorio asked if the carried motion by R. Gibbs and R. Moore regarding the fiscal year to end on December 31st of each year, is to be retroactive and should 86-87 be the year to end December 31st rather than July 31st. Since no by-laws are required for a change, this could be either a very long or a very short fiscal year.

There being no further amendments, the following motion was presented:

MOTION: It was moved by P. Klynstra, seconded by E. Fife that the minutes of the previous meeting, held in November of 1986 be adopted as amended.

CARRIED unanimously

4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT - C. Hahn Oberlander - attached

In addition the President mentioned the receipt of an invitation from Professor Moggridge in the UK to participate in a programme of hospitality to other L.A., also the making of an index of landscape architecture sites that could be visited, which would give us visibility. As reciprocity the CSLA would gain hospitality in the UK. (Copy to members).

5. PRESIDENT ELECT'S REPORT - E. Johnson - attached

Proposed theme for next year: Rationalization - Outreach.
- list of committees requested.

- committees: rather than having CSLA committees as such, the idea of task forces is presented in the report, in that the CSLA role will be to provide liaison between the component associations's committees whereby a one-time job is undertaken by an individual or group on behalf of the CSLA.

- publications: the President Elect asked for suggested names to chair this important task force.

- task forces: although travel could be involved in such a concept, future annual congresses can be the stage for serious workshops. The President Elect asked how these could be structured to accommodate such workshops.

- outreach: to promote the CSLA through community services: an ideal avenue for the CSLA to be taken full advantage of.

Vincent Asselin reported that AAPQ did something in that vein last year, which was reported at the annual meeting, but not acknowledged formally.

- status of the profession: It was suggested that the CSLA take action. Visibility, could be achieved through services. However, standards and ethics must be considered in how much a professional association should or can do for the community. The President Elect added that, as discussed at the last Board meeting, someone is needed to determine the direct or indirect economic impact of our profession. Such a study necessitates money and if no budget is allocated the CSLA should be looking at the LACF directly or asking the LACF to start raising some money. A coordinator is needed and the OALA was suggested as a good component association for this task force.

- unfinished business - Associate (Extended) membership issue to be reopened - the CSLA is losing too many good potential members.

- LAR - N. Van Vliet requested funds to improve relations between the CSLA and LAR - Although N. Van Vliet's proposal was not accepted as presented, funds were set aside and a \$1,000 allocated through a motion - (which has now been paid) see 16.

✓ - International Symposium: Greening of the City - The President mentioned a report and proceedings sent to her but added that there had not been any press report on the matter of the symposium. (Attached: report and letter from M. Hough). - see 9.5

- President Elect - Strongly suggested a larger role for the the Past President. The Past President, President and President Elect should work together for the betterment of the CSLA.

The President Elect having requested a motion regarding the acceptance of the task forces concept, the President asked for a question period first.

Château Versailles had to be vacated at 9:00. The meeting reconvened at 9:40 at the University of Montreal.

As requested by the President, each member of the board completed a mailing address form.

After a short question period, the following was submitted: the approval, at least in principle, of each of the task force proposed with recommendations and suggestions, to be presented at the next Board of Governors meeting.

D. Clark suggested presenting the concept to the membership at large, including terms of reference; it was further suggested by J. Altorio that a proposal, to further the guidelines presented by the President Elect, be ready for the next Board of Governors meeting in Halifax.

✓ Motion: it was moved by David Wagner, seconded by D. Clark that the report of the President Elect be adopted and that a written response in this regard be addressed to the CSLA within 30 days.

Carried unanimously.

The President Elect then suggested that certain tasks could be undertaken by component associations without cost or with some financial support.

The President thanked the President Elect for his report and introduced W. Kehm, Chairman of the Environmental Committee, who arrived around 10:00 a.m. (*report included*)

6. SECRETARY-TREASURER'S REPORT - J. Altorio - attached

A). Core as listed and based on expected revenue

Assets: \$17,000 in saving account
23,000 in chequing account*
40,000

\$63,000 under the core budget has been allocated and spent for a balance of 23,000*

Membership fees: \$76.00 per member (Paid: Quebec & Ontario)
IFLA dues: Increased from \$8,000 to 10,000

B> Elective as listed - approximately \$19,000 has been spent.

After some comments regarding the budget and the role of the Secretary-Treasurer, the following motion was submitted:

Motion: it was moved by D. Clark, seconded by R. Gibbs that the Secretary-Treasurer's report be adopted as presented.

Carried unanimously

In response to questions regarding the budget, J. Altorio replied that cash flow is being used up this year far more quickly than it ever had and that it was difficult, therefore, to put some money aside or plan for investment. He further suggested that, at the Board's request, he could summarize the budget in a different way, break it down further, or make any changes to comply with the Board's requests.

The President thanked J. Altorio for his report.

7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT - P. Vachon - attached

2 issues: 1) report on P.W. Canada's study
 2) copy of a letter (non renewal of contract)

3 suggestions have been put forth in the letter:

- i) selling professional books to L.A. through the CSLA
- ii) Public Works Canada: an annual activity day whereby civil servants and senior officials would come and give appropriate talks.
- iii) specific tasks

Public Works Canada: - P. Vachon tabled his report on PWC: L.A.: Identified 25 L.A. working in 5 departments; in terms of employment, the number is not to increase greatly in the light of existing federal policies and changes occurring in the Public Works Department.

- contracts: information available at headquarters is very sparse. Contracts to L.A. are not given at that level but at the provincial level. If this is a national issue it should, however, be carried on at provincial level.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1) drop the project as conceived - do not carry on;
- 2) initiate an award - for the best and for the worst to identify those not qualified to do the work;
- 3) project managers - key decision makers, who distribute the workload in almost all cases. No L.A. among them. Schools could be asked to prepare people for some of those jobs.
- 4) contact architects and civil engineers on a public relations basis.
- 5) Keep the role and symbol of the Executive Director.

The President thanked P. Vachon for his report, and added that one subject was omitted, that of the meeting ~~by~~ attended by ~~with~~ J. Altorio regarding the tax to be levied for services.

by L.O.
Pierre Vachon left the meeting around 10:10

The President commented on the nice place at Heritage Canada with a direct government line and added that P. Vachon should have mentioned that he made contact with standing committees and had introduced himself, at the beginning, to engineers, planners, ~~and~~ Had he not done that we would not now have a foot in the door. The presence in Ottawa is necessary but secretarial help is needed. The President requested guidance from the Board and added that she had brought M. de Billancourt, who assumed the responsibility before, to take the minutes of the meeting.

J. Altorio pointed out that it has always been the CSLA problem to find someone competent and willing to do the day to day routine and that this should certainly not be the President's role. But he suggested to answer some of P. Vachon's comments: i) ii) and iii) plus how to reinstate the administrative services.

MOTION: it was moved by Peter Klynstra, seconded by C Man to discuss administrative services with M. de Billancourt on a contract basis.

Carried unanimously

8. COMPONENT ASSOCIATIONS' REPORTS

- APALA - Peter Klynstra, President - attached
- Zim software (a powerfull tool and not used fully);
 - Roster must be updated but information is not coming from the component associations;
 - 1987 Congress - see 9.4

- AAPQ - Vincent Asselin, President - annual report attached
- L.A. Insurance indispensable for health and security.
 - Case study had to be redone

- DALA - Ed. Fife, President - annual report attached
- DALA has an Executive Director
 - Grandfather clause now closed
 - reorganisation and restructuration of committes under way.

- MALA - D. Wagner, President - attached
- Considerable ink on L.A.
 - L.A. wishes to improve the Scrub Journal
 - City proposal of downtown Winnipeg Center

- SALA - D. Clark, President - attached
- Still same number of members 20 to 24
 - Now have a new office which is more than a mail box.

- AALA - D. Wagner, President - attached
- Civil servants worried about jobs

- BCSLA - Cameron Man, President - report attached ?
- Feb. 21st annual meeting - no form of succession
 - new registrar on March 1st
 - Minor internal problems - former President unavailable
 - No board meeting yet. How to carry business without records.
 - May have an Alberta like situation on hands

The President thanked the members of the Board.

An informal lunch was served at 12:15 and the meeting reconvened at 1:00 p.m. Walter Kehm arrived at 1:30

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

9.1 Prof. Awards: The President suggested that a letter from DelCan be read to prepare the Board before meeting with Bill Crompton who is to present his report at the breakfast meeting of March 20. After some discussions on the letter, read by J. Altorio, the President suggested that comments should be made to B. Crompton, who took over from Arnis Budrevics, about the running of the Award programme on a professional basis.

J. Altorio pointed out that Arnis Budrevics had a set of rules and procedures, as well as goals. A lengthy discussion followed and it would appear that the problem stems from the fact that the rules had been changed and that regional awards were granted on the ground that the winners would then become eligible for a National Award and should, therefore, receive a certificate from the provincial association. In turn, the winners of the National Award would be informed in time to attend the annual meeting to be presented their award, should they wish to attend.

The President proposed to submit to the Board, at the next meeting, procedures, objectives, goals, etc. for the professional award programme and to set up rules as to how the announcements should be made to avoid another most unfortunate incident.

A similar letter was read by D. Clark and J. Altorio suggested that it was the CSLA responsibility to answer the letters. The President agreed to write the letters.

E. Fife suggested a promotional campaign to other than L.A. and their clients.

D. Clark proposed meeting with B. Crompton, on behalf of the Board, and prepare a resume to be sent to the President with copy to all members of the board for comments. (D. Clark met with B. Crompton on Saturday morning).

E. Fife further suggested that the Board should then bring their recommendations to the next Board meeting for concrete resolutions.

N.B. Further comments about this matter were made during the session held at Château Versailles on March 21st -

*Please ask
D.C. for
letters of
Comments.* →

9.2 Environmental: - Walter Kehm, Chairman (verbal below)
acid rain: attached letter further to the meeting on acid rain

W. Kehm expressed concern about policies and said that:
"there is a vacuum within the CSLA and I keep asking myself
what do we do with the information, what procedures do we have
in place so that this letter really means something and how do
we function as a political body in Ottawa/Hull to bring some
of these ideas forward?"

Everyone is saying in this country yes, we do have an acid
rain policy and the government is behind it, the federal,
the provincial... We could send a letter from the Executive
Director, the President or the CSLA supporting the government
policies which we happen to agree with. In the United States we
have a different situation. However, should we decide on a
CSLA/ASLA Joint venture and that we, in Canada, totally
support their efforts which, in turn, would give them added
support, then the preamble to the ASLA policies is quite
acceptable, to me, for a basis in developing CSLA policies
and the differences between Canada and the States would be in
the particular areas of concern. Ontario has an axe to grind,
Quebec also. I am not sure of the situation in NB., N.S., the
Prairies or B.C. If we can agree, and there may be variations,
then we should go back to these provincial organizations, as
they have in the states. Each of the provincial associations
should come back to the CSLA Environmental Committee and put
their position down and I will edit that, put it all together
and submit a transcript and recommend policies".

Nuclear disarmament: the questions from the Committee are:

- do we have contact
- do we have a budget
- do we have a theme
- is there a network, etc.

As for the other issue, I am unable to respond at this time
for lack of understanding the mandate of our committee which
I need to know before going further.

The President proposed considering a statement from the BCCLA
on a Wilderness Policy and nuclear arms, but these were not
available nor distributed to members as requested.

The President having asked if the issue was to be discussed
further or if some action should be taken in this regard, it
was proposed by C. Man that, based on the material available,
a policy be drafted.

*Please
attach →
to minutes
if found*

✓ MOTION: It was, therefore, moved by D. Clark, seconded by E. Fife that C. Man will draft guidelines to be approved by the board and presented to the CSLA within 30 days. (2 weeks from C. Man to the Board and 2 weeks from the Board to C. Man) Permanent records shall be made of the CSLA approved policy.

Carried unanimously

On the question raised by W. Kehm regarding financial facilities, J. Altorio replied that amounts were allocated for that purpose, under the Elective programme for Environment, and that invoices should be sent to him: telephones, etc.

It was further established that provincial issues will have to be identified and that the national committee be involved in national issues and the provincial committee in provincial ones.

Briefly Walter Kehm's proposal is as follows:

- how to handle issues
- how to coordinate details
- specific assignments to specific persons
- how committees should work
- how to implement guidelines
- task forces next year as an overseeing role

The President proposed that all committees should work under the CSLA committee and that each provincial association should have a counterpart. B. Johnson reiterated that he requested a list of committees and duties, at the last November Board meeting, and that he had received only a few replies:

AALA	-
AAPO	- no committee
APALA	- Jim Sackville
BCSLA	- Larry Diamond
MALA	-
OALA	- Name in book
SALA	-

*Have
complete*

*President's
of Prov. Ass.
must complete this.*

With regard to the policies of the BCSLA on Wilderness and the proposed issues on nuclear arms, the President promised that these, plus the one on nuclear waste, shall be sent to W. Kehm, chairman of the Environmental Committee.

IFLA: postponed - P. Jacobs to present it at 3:00 - see 9.4

9.3 Tax Review: J. Altorio commented that basically the federal government, through M. Wilson, is proposing to assure tax revenue for Canada by taxing all goods (which they did before) but also all services, called a business transfer tax and which could be 5 or 10%. Not an imminent tax, but a tax to come probably in the White Paper to be presented this Spring. If the CSLA disagrees it could voice its comments either individually or collectively or preferably with other design associations, architects, engineers, etc. He proposed sending the Board a copy of OAA organization's drafted paper to be presented to the government. He suggested to the members of the board that a policy statement be prepared giving the reasons why the CSLA objects and what can be done about this, or if there is something the government can do. This is not only a tax on services, but a compounded tax that, in turn, will be transferred to clients. This has very serious implications on the ability to perform, the ability to take on work, to be competitive, etc. and that would probably increase the cost for everyone, not just the CSLA but also the consumer.

The President asked if this could be discussed further and J. Altorio suggested that he continues in terms of representing the L.A. with other professional designers to see how far they wish to go and maybe, within a given time, he could get a statement out to members of the Board for comments. He also added that the American L.A. had decided this kind of tax not to be to their advantage. He further proposed waiting for the White Paper, which would give an indication of the government's intentions, before discussing further the implication of this Transfer Tax and that, at that time, a CSLA policy could be drafted to be presented to the next Board and then to the government for comments.

C. Man pointed out that this would be particularly onerous to L.A. for the following reasons:

- 1) very small - much smaller than engineering firms, etc.
- 2) L.A. have a multitude of open accounts.

9.4 Congresses and future annual meeting: P. Klynstra
- Personal invitation and registration package distributed.
attached
- 2 questions to the Board to be answered today:

1) schedule - To invite a politician for Sunday morning. Further to C. Man's comments on politicians not always appropriate because of their busy schedule and, therefore, lack of time to come well prepared, B. Johnson suggested that members of the Board could either lose or gain: lose a breakfast or gain publicity. He further suggested giving the politician invited a topic, such as a local issue. P. Klynstra suggested Hon. Steward or McMillan from P.E.I. minister of the Environment, and also minister for the Plaque, which will be unveiled in the Garden, thus providing good publicity since this is an historic site. He pointed out that he made no invitations.

It was proposed that both be invited.

2) Reservation: a reminder in the newsletter, bulletin, etc. Although blocks of rooms have been reserved, these will be gone if reservations are not confirmed now.
- another mailing to those who have registered.

It was proposed that a reminder will be included in the next issue of the Bulletin.

To answer the President Elect's question regarding congresses, the President replied that themes are presented to the Board 2 years in advance together with subject matter and location.

- Congress 87 - Halifax, August 19-22
- Congress 88 - Manitoba, July 7-9 - *please add theme*
- Congress 89 - open - (BC or Quebec)
- Congress 90 - Alberta *

Wagner

- * under consideration - report received at the CSLA no theme yet, but accepted on the assumption that 1989 would be in the east.
 - 1989 Congress - looking at BC - or Quebec
 - 1989 IFLA - perhaps in Quebec City

With regard to joint meetings with the ASLA and/or IFLA, it was proposed to put this matter on the annual meeting agenda after confirmed information as to the intentions of both these organizations.

~~As~~ ⁱⁿ regard ^{to} ~~the~~ alternating of Congresses the following option was suggested: (1987 Halifax, 1988 Manitoba)

- Option: 1989: BC.
- 1990: Quebec
- 1991: Alberta **

** acceptable to Alberta

9.5 IFLA: - Verbal report by Peter Jacobs who arrived at 3:10

REPORT:

Al Rattray gave me an introduction to the issue of IFLA's finances.

"What do national delegates do: 1) on a short term or emergency term and 2) on longer term. It was resolved by the delegates that 5 major countries, which would include Canada, West Germany, Japan, United Kingdom and United States, should attempt to raise an additional dual(?) application for this year that would approximate 20% of their fees - that was the vote - Instead we sent around a request to various people saying: for this emergency period could you contribute some extra money - We have been quite successful in raising money that way for this year. The target: about 1500 S.F. which translates into about \$1,000, depending on the exchange rate. Al and I said, in essence, we will do it but not via a fee increase, in that we cannot vote a fee increase but we can certainly pledge the money, and we did.

IFLA fees a 3-part problem:

- 1) Inflation does hit Switzerland. and the S.F. is devalued by about 40%;
- 2) IFLA is expanding quite extensively in the third-world and has quite a number of latin american emerging groups, also in Asia and Africa.
- 3) The load on IFLA has increased in 1 or 2 areas:
 - a) support of starting educational institutions
 - b) support of helping people to practice and provide some kind of presence.

Therefore it was unanimously agreed that the countries would try to raise these addition 1500 S.F. of extra dues for 1987. The amount of fee involved is relatively low, about 1 or 2 S.F. I would like the CSLA to consider an increase of up to an additional 3.S.F. per head, divided in a way acceptable to the IFLA Council - The CSLA to establish a ceiling of what it would consider, and if it is prepared to do so, then I will be able to manoeuver".

Please check IFLA item with Peter J. if necessary.

J. Altorio commented that the IFLA dues structure this year, formulated on the pink form he has formerly received, includes a difference over last year, in the 300 to 2,000 category, and that the 7.S.F. per member charged last year, was now at 10 S.F. per member: adding the exchange rate and the bank charges this is an increase of \$97.00 CDN for the number of members declared. J. Altorio then asked if that difference is in fact the 3 S.F. that the CSLA is now asked to accept. P. Jacobs answered yes.

To the question pertaining to a possible further increase, P. Jacobs answered that it would be legitimate for IFLA to do so and that any further increase would have to be debated.

J. Altorio then added that this was not a question of an increase of up to 3 S.F. but in fact an increase of 3 S.F. implemented for this year and to be standard from now on.

P. Jacobs continued his report by saying that it would seem more equitable to add 3.S.F. to the entire membership, for many countries do not have members in the category where the CSLA is paying extra monies. He pointed out that the U.S. may come back, after having looked at the formula, and suggest adding 1 S.F. to each membership category, and I would not like to be put into a situation where the total amount of money might be exactly the same or 5 S.F. more or less, and not feel that I have the flexibility of saying: yes, that is the consensus around the table. As long as we are not committed to more money, then I would accept a change in the allocation of how this is done to satisfy the other members of IFLA. This is a "nuancée" question. I do not want to feel that I cannot accommodate the rest of the delegates while respecting the financial ceiling the CSLA has allocated .

J. Altorio described, according to the formula, the 3 existing categories:

- 1) up to 20 members - 10 S.F. per member (unchanged)
 - 2) between 21 and 300 - 18 S.F. (maximum - unchanged)
 - 3) between 301 to 2000 - 7 S.F. (maximum but changed)
- would a better structure be appropriate?

J. Jacobs answered that the above formula used "analyzes" the countries with the largest number of L.A. and that was discussed in most of the 5 countries. U.K. and U.S. may come back with a counter proposal saying: if we are going to raise fees, let us do it equitably for all countries. Norway, with 15 L.A. would then raise the category 1 to 20 by 1 S.F., the category of 20 to 300 1 S.F. and the other category by 1 S.F. we could then pay less, certainly not more than the calculations you are making now.

P. Jacobs reiterated that he had said that he would not go back to the CSLA for a fee increase since the CSLA had told IFLA representatives not to. But we did pledge that we will raise the money and we did. This is therefore a permanent fee increase.

The President mentioned a hand-written letter from A. Rattray with a personal cheque for \$250. but that he did not give a formal report.

see envelope

J. Altorio asked if he had directions from the Board to accept the 3 S.F. increase. The following was submitted to the Board: by R. Gibbs and D. Wagner that the treasurer be authorized to accept the 3 S.F. increase, in one category, from 7 S.F. to 10 S.F. as invoiced by IFLA and to proceed with payment.

D. Clark mentioned that 2 motions were presented at the last meeting in this regard:

1) that A. Rattray contact each member of the Board for donations;

The President replied that she contacted the BCCLA who donated \$450, AAPQ who donated \$900 and requested donations from the other component associations.

2) that any increase in fees for IFLA be resisted.

P. Jacobs replied by saying that he was advocating to the Board that the additional 3 S.F. should be approved, based on increased program demand and the devalued S.F. rather than the cost of dues 10 years ago. He added that as chairman of the by-laws committee the elimination of one executive officer position, the 1st V.P. and the changing of the past president to an ad hoc position had been approved as means to reducing the cost of carrying the executive. The delegates are trying to cut down the cost. Therefore, there is financial responsibility in diminishing administrative costs on the one side and on the other side slippage of the S.F. and additional programme demand as justification to the fee increase.

To a question from the President J. Altorio answered that the exchange rate, although the formula differed last year, was \$0.49 against \$0.88 now (87.75) or \$0.40 difference, or if we were to pay on last year's formula for this year's number of members \$5500 Cnd last year against \$9700 this year for a difference of \$4200 in real money.

The President pointed out that at the last Board meeting held in November, the CSLA did commit itself to IFLA on the principle that it is an international body of L.A.

F. Jacobs added that each of the national delegates, at the last meeting, were asked to go back to their associations and obviously to argue on IFLA behalf, since no International association can approve a due increase at meeting unless they are given carte blanche. Everyone had to go back to membership so IFLA obviously sent an invoice on the assumption that the request would be successful. This is in essence an invoice for the increase.

F. Jacobs reiterated his comments made earlier that IFLA had straightened out their account, that their books are now controlled and verified and added that most of the work at IFLA is done by the Executive except for 1 or 2 committee chairmen like Al and myself. The work is to visit regularly the countries where there are emerging individuals or small groups of L.A. and help them to develop status and by-laws to make official the professional association in the country for which they are responsible and generating new educational programmes. There is an increasing demand on these people which means they have to travel and most of these are not independently comfortable at all. Most of the IFLA funds are going to support the emergence of these small groups hopefully that will grow and become autonomous. That is the increase of the demand that is being made on the executive. Also some of you have asked what are they getting from IFLA that there are not getting from the CSLA or the DALA; legitimately I could turn the question around and say do we not have some responsibility to support the emergence of this practice elsewhere.

After a lengthy discussion the previous motion was amended as follows:

MOTION It was moved by C. Man, seconded by F. Klynstra that the formula of an increase from 7 to 10 S.F. be accepted and to proceed with payment.

Carried unanimously

The President thanked F. Jacobs who left the meeting at 4:00

The discussion then centered on the possibilities of buying futures as suggested by F. Klynstra: on the day the budget is established, future S.F. can be bought, thus establishing, in advance, what the CSLA will have to pay. Whereas if S.F. are purchased at the time the dues must be paid it is impossible, at the time of the budget, to know what the CSLA will have to pay. Therefore the following motion was submitted:

MOTION: It was moved by D. Wagner, seconded by E. Fife that the treasurer will prepare a report to be presented at the next Board of Governors meeting, to be held in Halifax, on how to establish financial procedures in order to insulate the CSLA against international monetary fluctuations.

Carried unanimously

9.5 International Symposium: Greening of the City: attached: a programme and a letter from M. Hough.

The President mentioned that, according to the report, Dr. David Goode, from G.B. also delivered an excellent speech.

ICOMOS
9.6 Heritage Canada: The President read a letter from ~~Icomos~~ Canada inviting one or several members of the CSLA to participate in the discussion of historic gardens and landscapes and to form some type of joint sub-committee to address subjects of mutual concern. The next meeting is planned for June 8-9/87 either in Montreal, Edmonton or Toronto. The President also mentioned that **ICOMOS** Canada's doctrine committee is meeting in Toronto on the 26 and 27 of March. This is a regional meeting organized, in part, by the staff of the Ontario Heritage Foundation at which time a draft of principles and practice will be the focus for discussion - The president has the draft - which will ultimately direct the work of all members of **ICOMOS** Canada to deal with all the disciplines: conservation, history, archeology, culture, tourisms, L.A., architecture, engineering, heritage, etc. The participation from the L.A. could be either in person or through the sending of a memo~~re~~ which the President agreed to present. (attached: leaflet, Linda Fardine's letter, task force of the doctrine committee)

The President having suggested that a representative be sent, C. Man proposed that the President send a letter to Linda Fardine saying the CSLA will be glad to send a delegate to the committee.

D. Clark suggested as opportune that the President, B. Johnson and possibly C. Man formulate the response of the Board and to delegate someone to the next meeting, after having established the CSLA position and drafted policies the CSLA wishes to present.

E. Johnson suggested that C. Man prepare a letter, on behalf of the President. C. Man replied that his point of view might be somewhat influenced by his previous dealings with them, and that he would not necessarily speak for the board on this issue but added that he was prepared to assist.

The President proposed to draft a letter with C. Man.

10. BUDGET - see Secretary-Treasurer's report - Item 6

11. APPROVAL OF NOMINEES FOR PRESIDENT ELECT

The nominees for President Elect for 1987-88 are:
Letter of acceptance attached - except for W. Kehm

- 1) P. Klynstra - APALA
- 2) Richard Gaudreau - AAPQ
- 3) Walter Kehm - DALA

MOTION: It was moved by C. Man, seconded by R. Gibbs to approve the nominations.

Carried unanimously

12. COLLEGE OF FELLOWS

The President acknowledged receipt of several applications by Owen Scott and pointed out that the Board, as a whole, can nominate one member at large to the College of Fellows.

→ C. Man presented a candidate: W. Yeomans, journalist in the and environmental journal of S. Francisco who fell in love with landscape architecture. He went through University; practice as a planner for the city... in Oregon, and came to Canada to work. He later became the head of the Terrestrial branch of the B.C. Government (Natural Resources) Did the Canada Land Inventory and established the technique for major inventories. He has probably never been nominated by the BCSLA for internal reasons. Over the years he has made major contributions to landscape architecture in the country, particularly in land classification: shoreline, etc. Bill was always ahead of his time and a most committed person. He has been a member of the BCSLA since 1957.

There being no other nomination the following motion was submitted:

MOTION: it was moved by C. Man, seconded by D. Clark that the nomination be submitted to Owen Scott, chairman of the College of Fellows, for approval.

Carried with the following condition:

subject to verification of membership in good standing.

The President suggested that 3 or 5 slides of work be sent to O. Scott no later than June 15.

for showing at the annual meeting in Halifax.

13. CSLA STUDENT CHAPTER -

Letter from a student in Manitoba

The President's answer to the letter was as follows: that any students must first be members of a component association and that the considering of other membership applications had not been finalized, except for the ones coming through the component associations. However, she assured the students that their letter would be brought before the board but made no promise.

D. Wagner suggested that if students wish to form a Canadian L. A. Student association, they should apply to the CSLA to become a component association.

It was noted that various component associations do have a student category including by laws and programmes, such as the one in place at the University of Manitoba. However, it was felt that, if students wanted to form their own association, they should apply to the CSLA.

Through further discussions it was suggested that students be

- encouraged to participate
- asked to sit on the board meetings, on a once-a-year basis as observers. The observer to be chosen by the student body but from the location where the meeting is to take place;
- the link the CSLA has been talking about for a number of years;
- send information on the Foundation, educational grants, etc., and that a career profile be drafted to elaborate on the scope, the funding, etc.

The President proposed writing a letter of encouragement to the student adding that applications should be addressed to the CSLA through their component association and, should they wish to get in closer touch with student members across the country, the CSLA will provide a list a student members.

The President raised L. Novak's concern with regard to associate or extended membership and B. Johnson proposed to put this matter finally to rest or to ask L. Novak to chair a one-man committee to examine this matter

D. Clark having realized the numerous letters the President will have to write after this meeting suggested that D. Wagner draft the letter for the President's signature. (The President received D. Wagner's draft). *(and send it off.)*

14. LACF - postponed to a breakfast meeting on March 21st. attached report. (name of Board members and final report to be sent with the final copy of these minutes)

15. COUNSELLING OF STUDENTS -

E. Fife mentioned that he would like to know if the CSLA has a policy or should have one to getting information about the profession out to encourage would be students to come into the profession.

The President replied that some information was available, but pointed out the lack of budget for extensive counselling.

16. LAR - (Landscape Architecture Review)

J. Altorio put forward N. Van Vliet's proposal, dated May 30th 1986, which was presented and discussed at the Vancouver Board meeting.

- Objectives to expand LAR circulation.
 - a) to promote and increase affiliated membership
 - b) to increase advertising potential through:
 - i) promotional brochures
 - ii) additional copies of selected issues
 - iii) subscription forms to enable recipient to choose (Lar only or application for affiliated membership)
 - iv) any other appropriate magazine (CSLA Brochure, etc.)
 - v) increase the cost to members from \$18 to \$20.

The last 2 or 3 pages of the earlier report outlines how this would work with a proposed budget. Due to the lack of an official, formal response the first time, N. Van Vliet is hereby requesting that the Board reconsiders his earlier proposal which could not be accommodated, at that time for lack of budget. However, \$1,000 was set aside for this purpose and has now been paid.

The discussion which followed centered around a possible reformatting and improvement and budget for the LAR, after review of the minutes for the July 1986 meeting still to be completed.

F. Klynstra suggested that money could be had for this project if the higher dues of previous years were to be reintroduced.

E. Fife stated that this was important from a promotional point of view but that promotion should not be done among L.A. and that he would like to see it on a newstand.

The President proposed that this matter be on the agenda of the next Board meeting and that a task force on publications be implemented.

A proposal by JoAnne Fleming to edit the Bulletin was turned down since the Secretariat is responsible for gathering information. D. Clark mentioned that the Bulletin, which really started with M. Hough, is the official organ of information for L. A. and that the President's message is a very important part of the Bulletin together with news or motions passed at the Board's meetings, etc.

17. LIABILITY INSURANCE - Vincent Asselin

- a brief discussion showed the importance of this issue for L.A.
- Letter from Insurance Broker attached

18. THE RICHARD ST. BARBE BAKER FOUNDATION - attached

- money for film to be considered (Video-tape?)
- information on membership in the Baker Foundation to be forwarded to the membership
- This item to be on the agenda of the next meeting.

19. ASLA CHAPTER DISCUSSION

Further to discussions on this item, it was proposed that closer relationship would be beneficial for both and a better understanding would be of mutual advantage.

There is a Chapter in Ontario.

20. CLOSING REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT

The President thanked the members of the Board for their support and there being no further matter on the agenda for the day, the following motion was submitted:.

MOTION: it was moved by D. Clark, seconded by R. Gibbs, that the meeting be adjourned at 6:20 to reconvene to-morrow at 7:30 at the Chateau Versailles to hear D. Graham's report on the LACF prior to the annual meeting of LACF.

Carried unanimously

Meeting of March 21st 1987 at the Hotel Chateau Versailles in Montreal.

The President called the meeting to order at 7:30 a.m., welcomed everyone back and introduced two guests: B. Crompton, Professional Award committee and D. Graham, LACF. P. Vachon, attended the meeting with D. Graham.

PROFESSIONAL AWARDS - see 9.1

Unfortunately since the minutes of the July meeting are not completed as yet, it would appear that there is some misunderstanding as to what amount was presented by Arnis Budrevics and the amount now requested by B. Crompton to carry on.

The letter read yesterday afternoon was distributed to the board amembers.

D. Wagner asked if, once the award committee has received a submission, the award committee writes anyone to say that there was actually submission from that region.

No clear answer was given in this regard or pertaining to the letter distributed earlier. Regarding judges and the fact that this year some projects were changed from the category of design to that of research, B. Crompton suggested a list be made from which a committee would be formed. Lack of continuity from earlier programmes was mentioned.

E. Fife mentioned that DALA would like to go back to having awards every year in the province and he asked if that would affect the CSLA programme. B. Crompton answered that it could cut down on submission numbers, since there are not enough L.A. to split it again.

The President requested that policies be established and that if visibility was very important so was credibility and proposed that defined procedures and guidelines be presented to the next Board meeting together with a budget.

D. Clark left to talk to B. Crompton, as promised and on behalf of the President, to return at 8:50 and will present his comments and recommendations to the President as soon as possible.

Please
Remind +
Crompton, D.L.
by memo.
C.O.

14. LACF - D. Graham, chairman - report attached.

D. Graham mentioned that P. Vachon had spent a lot of time on this project which was back in operation thanks to M. Hough. He mentioned that the annual meeting will be held at the University of Montreal in the morning in conjunction with Labash '87 and invited members of the Board to attend.

He pointed out that contact with the regions is vital at this stage and proposed appointing a vice-president for continuity and suggested B. Johnson as a candidate.

The meeting room had to be vacated and an informal session took place in the Lounge of the Château Versailles. At that time it was agreed that M. de Billancourt would take over the duties of the Secretariate, pending a formal contract and that priorities shall be established by the President.

R. Gibbs raised his concern regarding the lack of Western candidates for President Elect for 87-88 in the light of his understanding of the alternating principle.

It was moved by C. Man, seconded by D. Clark that the meeting be adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

Members of the Board left for the U. of Montreal to attend LACF meeting - report attached.