

THE CANADIAN SOCIETY OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
L'ASSOCIATION DES ARCHITECTES PAYSAGISTES DU CANADA

M I N U T E S

BOARD OF GOVERNORS MEETING OF THE C.S.L.A./A.A.P.C.
MARCH 23-24, 1984, MONTREAL; QUEBEC

5

Attended by:

Len Novak, President, CSLA/AAPC
Michael Hough, President-elect, CSLA/AAPC (Saturday only)
Jonh Altorio, Executive Secretary-Treasurer, CSLA/AAPC
Reinhart Petersmann, President, APALA
Macklin L. Hancock, President, UALA
Richard Gaudreau, President, AAPQ
Charles H. Thomsen, President, MALA
Victor Ford, Past President, SALA
Marie Kipen, President, AALA
Art Cowie, President, BCSLA

MOTIONS & DISCUSSIONS:

1. Adoption of Proposed Agenda

MOVED: Art Cowie moved that the agenda be adopted.

2nd: Macklin Hancock Carried.

2. Announcements

Richard Gaudreau welcomed the board of governors to Montréal and announced lunch and dinner arrangements.

3. Minutes of Previous Board Meeting in Calgary, Alberta

Len Novak suggested dispensing of the actual reading of the minutes due to time constraints, assuring members that issues from the last meeting were included in the agenda.

MOVED: Charles Thomsen moved that the minutes be adopted.

2nd: Victor Ford Carried.

4. President's Report

Len Novak gave an overview of programs and activities of the CSLA at this time. A copy is attached.

Roster

Charles Thomsen asked if each association gets a free copy of the roster. Len Novak replied that each association gets a free copy once a year, and that extra rosters are available at \$16.00 a copy. Mailing labels are available at \$100.00 a run for commercial purposes.

Richard Gaudreau noted that the roster includes non-members. Len Novak indicated that Lombard North used the survey of the profession as their base. Charles Thomsen suggested a coding system for membership status. Len Novak stated that the roster should only include full members, and that each association should provide current rosters. Reinhart Petersmann suggested that the current roster be scrapped. Len Novak stated that rosters were missing from British Columbia and Alberta.

Richard Gaudreau suggested that the roster include the CSLA code of ethics.

Environmental Quality Committee

Len Novak added that someone else is needed from Ontario, and that the British Columbia members have not made formal decisions.

Women in L.A. in Canada

Richard Gaudreau suggested that Sandra Donaldson be contacted with regards to this issue.

Archives

Len Novak confirmed that \$1070.00 had been paid to Guelph.

LAR Editorial Board

Macklin Hancock wondered if there was Québec input in the LAR Editorial Board, and Richard Gaudreau confirmed that Michael O'Neil is fluently bilingual.

College of Fellows and Foundation

Len Novak added that there were only five nominations for fellows this year, and wondered if the nomination procedure was too cumbersome. Charles Thomsen said that his association was not too aware of the nomination procedure. Macklin wondered if they could right the situation, as it had been overlooked. Reinhart Petersmann felt that the nomination procedure was good, as this was the first time that they had time to reply. Len Novak confirmed that it is too late for further nominations for this year. Michael Hough asked if it mattered if few nominations were made. Victor Ford felt that quality was better than quantity. Len Novak suggested that the nomination procedure be discussed at a special meeting of the College of Fellows at the Saskatoon congress.

5. Treasurer's Report

Presented by John Altorio. See attached four sheets.

Due to the timeliness by which accounts were paid, the purchase of term deposits, and forward forecasts could be made. The CSLA is in a good financial position with regards to revenue. There is the possibility of putting more money into term deposits.

Victor Ford asked what the membership was, and John Altorio replied that there were 404 paid members.

Len Novak added that Labash asked for financial support. Money was taken from the secretarial fund. It got the CSLA on the sponsor list.

Reinhart Petersmann asked if the congress money was a loan or a grant. John Altorio replied that if there is a revenue, the \$1,000.00 is paid back, whereas if a loss is suffered, it is written off. Reinhart Petersmann asked when this was changed, and John Altorio confirmed that it had been changed two years ago.

Michael Hough suggested that the defunct accounts on the elective program summary sheet be written off. Macklin Hancock suggested that accounts should have a budget number and date. John Altorio agreed.

MOTION: Macklin Hancock moved that the following items be formally written off:

- Journal Awards
- Mailings
- National Exams
- Annual Magazine
- Corporate Image
- Professional Standards.

2nd: Charles Thomsen Carried.

MOTION: Victor Ford moved to accept the Treasurer's Report.

2nd: Reinhart Petersmann Carried.

Macklin Hancock asked for a report on the Jubilee Congress. John Altorio replied that money from government agencies were in the works at the present time. Macklin Hancock noted that the Jubilee Congress was an achievement for the CSLA and the people in Ottawa.

John Altorio added that the CSLA may have as many as 600 members within a year, and that he had made summaries with regards to expectations, which could make the CSLA work in a more professional manner.

6. Accreditation Program

Ron Williams gave an update on the progress of the Accreditation Program. He apologized for the tardiness of the program and suggested a revised schedule, whereby the final proposal could be readied and reviewed at the Saskatoon congress. He had planned to send the 1979 manual for

accreditation to program heads, presidents of associations, etc., but would rather start again, give a draft, then present it. He had had input from Douglas Paterson and Cameron Mann. Therefore, he plans to start a new draft manual, circulate it to schools and component associations in April, then receive written comments in May. In June, the review would be completed, at which time he would like to conduct a conference call on the issue. It would be rewritten the month of June, and a draft manual would be available one month before the conference for circulation.

Richard Gaudreau offered to make copies of the 1979 manual for distribution tomorrow.

Ron mentioned that more differentiation was needed between guidelines and specific criteria.

Macklin Hancock added that it would be helpful for Douglas Paterson and Ron Williams to communicate before Doug comes up with his report, especially with regards to the evaluation of the Ryerson program. Charles Thomsen added that the University of Manitoba program is dependant on accreditation for its credibility. Accreditation is essential by October/November. He also mentioned that Alexander Rattray would be in Guelph in May, therefore, Ron could perhaps touch base with him at that time.

Len Novak asked where the CSLA could help, as Ron Williams is taking on the project single-handedly. Ron replied that he would like input on the method of appointing an accreditation board, precise and critical feedback on the preliminary document, and help from people in the Montreal area with the writing of the document.

Issues with regards to the accreditation board are the assurance of an independant autonomous board, and regional representation. He felt that the principal of the 1979 document whereby five landscape architects and two others were appointed on a rotational basis with three year terms was good. He suggested the participation of members in visiting teams. Proposals were that each association name three to four people, and that the College of Fellows could be a source of people, as well as administrative and organizational support. He posed the following questions: Should board members all be landscape architects, or members of the CSLA? Would they do it if they were not paid? Should they be required to participate in visiting teams? Should there be a fixed system of regional representation, and what could be the formula for this? Is the College of Fellows the right source for board members?

Charles Thomsen wondered if other professions would be insensitive to landscape architectural issues. Macklin Hancock said that he could imagine someone like Grady Clay on an accreditation board in the U.S., and felt that someone other than a landscape architect was needed on visiting committees or on the board itself. Victor Ford felt that someone from the outside would add to credibility. Michael Hough wondered if someone would be willing to serve as a gesture, and suggested the use of one or two key educators from the university field.

Ron Williams explained that visiting teams look at exams, papers, drawings, etc. and make a report to the accreditation board, and that continuity between the two is important. Should the members of the accreditation board who make policy and decisions, be able to take part in visiting teams? Art Cowie wondered if they couldn't be the same people. Michael Hough was concerned with the loss of continuity. Marie Kippen also felt that there should be continuity of people on the board and the visiting team. Charles Thomsen agreed. Michael Hough agreed because there are only a limited number of people on the board in the first place.

Ron wondered if two people on the visiting team was a good number. Michael Hough thought it was a good number. Marie Kippen felt it should be done properly, and that maybe three or more people would be better. Macklin Hancock mentioned that the ASLA team is about a five member unit. Reinhart Petersmann asked if the universities pay to offset some of these costs. Ron Williams pointed out that universities are being more and more squeezed, and that many schools in the U.S. are concerned with affording ASLA accreditation.

Ron explained the architectural accreditation procedure he was part of in Montreal. There was a one person chairman who asked each local association to appoint one member. The university appointed someone from another department, and someone from another university. Therefore, there was one objective viewpoint, as well as local input.

Michael Hough agreed that two people would not be enough, and that the appointment of local people would save costs. Reinhart Petersmann asked how the decision was made. Ron Williams replied that each person took notes, the chairman read these notes back, and they all reached an agreement. A draft accreditation report was produced by the chairman, the others commented on it, then a final report was produced.

Len Novak felt that the College of Fellows was an obvious place to look for people. Ron agreed, and suggested a requirement of at least one on the board. Macklin Hancock agreed. Ron suggested that component associations make recommendations, then the CSLA Board of Governors make the choice. Michael Hough suggested that the College of Fellows make the final selection. Reinhart Petersmann and Len Novak agreed.

Art Cowie suggested that someone at the ministerial level, for example a deputy minister be chosen, as landscape architecture does not have a high profile. Ron suggested that they could be on the board. Reinhart Petersmann wondered if politics would affect their decision. Michael Hough and Ron Williams thought it was a good idea.

Ron Williams added that an appeal procedure was needed, and that this could not be the same body that makes the decision. Art Cowie asked why an appeal procedure was necessary. Ron replied that the stakes are too high. Charles Thomsen and Michael Hough agreed that it was needed. Ron explained that in the 1979 manual it was dealt with by the Board of Governors, and suggested that the College of Fellows could point a three member tribunal. Art Cowie felt that the appeal system was ludicrous, costly and time-consuming. Michael Hough felt that credibility of the accreditation process was more important than the practicality, and that it has to be on the books whether it is used or not. Len felt that it

serves as a check and balance on the process. Charles Thomsen felt that appeals give strength to the process, and suggested provisional accreditation. Macklin Hancock wondered if the school would pay for the appeal.

Michael Hough pointed out that the schedule was crucial, as more than one university depends on accreditation.

Macklin Hancock asked that the differences between the Québec and Ontario education systems be explained. Ron Williams explained this briefly and suggested that Richard Gaudreau and himself could prepare a report on this.

A vote of thanks was made to the AAPQ for this contribution to the Accreditation Program.

7. President Elect's Report

Michael Hough presented the 1985-86 program items, including the proposal regarding a secretariat.

John Altorio felt that the proposal regarding a secretariat was a positive move in the right direction, and that it was affordable.

Richard Gaudreau asked what would be installed in one year. Michael Hough explained that it would be less expensive to be based in Toronto for one year of experimentation, as he lives in Toronto, and travel expenses could be saved. Art Cowie suggested just having someone temporary to work with the OALA secretary.

Macklin Hancock explained that they did not want to institutionalise in Toronto, but that it was valid and workable because they have Michelle. He felt that it had to be set up in Toronto this year, as OALA has a great administration. He suggested that a two or three year program be looked at. Michael Hough explained that he's thinking of a two year program, and that he wants it close to John Altorio and himself, so that they can iron out the problems.

Victor Ford felt that if it is in Ontario it should only be temporary. He also felt that the funds were a bit high, and saw it more as an executive, rather than secretarial function. He thought it should be in Ottawa as soon as possible, and that the Ontario connection was not seen as being an appropriate gesture.

Art Cowie thought it should be in Toronto as Michael and Michelle are there. He felt that Ontario's gesture should be accepted. Michael Hough added that the key point is to get the structure going. Marie Kippen agreed with the intent, but disagreed with the application. She felt that there was no guarantee that any of it would be transportable to Ottawa. Proximity could be handled with technology. The budget size did not bother her. Richard Gaudreau was in support of the proposal, as long as it is eventually permanent. He felt that the professional

image was important, and thought that it was under budget. He noted that there was no programming for the transfer to Ottawa.

Victor Ford saw the transfer to Ottawa as being a problem, and wondered if we would be benefitting this first year.

Art Cowie felt that there should be an executive some day.

John Altorio felt that it could become affordable with increased membership. Reinhart Petersmann felt that it was better if the president of the CSLA hires secretarial help where he is. John Altorio felt that the structure could work well one year, but not the next. Richard Gaudreau suggested that the new president be from Ottawa, as that would insure it being in Ottawa.

(LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT)

Len Novak indicated that the objective of the proposal regarding a secretariat was continuity, and that the ultimate objective would be an executive director. Since it is a national organisation, the executive director should be in Ottawa. He felt that the president must have secretarial support, and suggested that the OALA relationship could be de-emphasized. Art Cowie suggested that the secretarial services be done through Toronto as suggested, and that a program be presented within six months for the move to Ottawa.

Richard Gaudreau explained that the secretariat makes the AAPQ effort five to ten times more visible. He felt that a promotional person could not operate without a secretariat. Len Novak suggested that the \$7,000.00 for secretarial services and the \$4,000.00 for administration could be combined, and the personnel could make decisions. John Altorio felt that a very simple operation would cost more.

MOVED: Art Cowie moved that the president of the CSLA uses the services of the OALA executive secretarial services for one year, and has the secretariat in place in Ottawa before the end of his term.

2nd: Marie Kippen Carried.

8. SALA Congress Report

Report on progress given by Victor Ford. The posters are not yet ready. The congress will take place the 4th to 6th of July at the Besborough Hotel. There are 1050 pre-registration packages; 450 for full members. Information had been sent to Labash, and to the ASLA. About one-half of the commercial exhibition spaces are committed. They are looking for funding. They are meeting every two weeks and their major concern is getting people out. They are thinking of developing CSLA pins to be sold at the conference.

Len Novak suggested that each board member register immediately for the seed fund. Macklin Hancock asked what the target expectations were.

Victor replied that the minimum was 75, and that they are planning for 125, with as many as possible staying at the hotel, as the conference rooms would be less expensive. They are looking at post conference tours. They are inviting Fellows to give awards, architects and planners are invited, tickets will be sold to the public, as W. O. Mitchum will be speaking. Richard Gaudreau wondered if a charter could be arranged for people from Ontario and Québec.

9. Report on the Accommodation by OALA of AAPQ Members Working in the National Capital Region

Sandra Donaldson presented the report. See attached report.

Richard Gaudreau stated that this is a document for discussion, which could be supervised by the CSLA, and could set an example for similar agreements in the CSLA.

Macklin Hancock thanked Richard Gaudreau for his statesmanship. He felt that this document was the result of a great deal of effort.

Charles Thomsen stated that one of MALA's members has been denied the right to work in Ontario because of a residency clause. Michael Hough suggested that there be bona fide requirements across the country. Macklin Hancock assured that Ontario is not trying to set up trade barriers, but they have to have consistency.

Richard Gaudreau thanked Macklin Hancock, Michael Hough and the OALA for their favourable and direct response on this matter. He suggested that a committee be set up to continue this.

MOVED: Richard Gaudreau moved that the CSLA institute a permanent Inter-Provincial Committee on Reciprocity composed of four members.

2nd: Charles Thomsen Carried.

Michael Hough suggested that these members be on the examining boards of component associations. Macklin Hancock suggested that they could have served on an examining board.

Jack Copeland was suggested from the OALA, and Sandra Donaldson from the AAPQ. Michael Hough suggested that they communicate by telephone conference calls. Len Novak announced that discretionary funds would be available to support communication.

MOVED: Richard Gaudreau moved that Sandra Donaldson be the chairperson of the Inter-Provincial Committee on Reciprocity, and try to organize it.

2nd: Macklin Hancock Carried.

John Altorio asked that expected costs be calculated.

10. Presentation of the Brochure Design by the AAPQ

Carlos Martinez presented the brochure design.

Michael Hough felt that the symbolism should be clear. John Altorio added that if the symbolism is not explained verbally, it should be self-evident. Michael Hough found the grid too architectural.

Carlos Martinez asked that each component association send photographs of both regional and urban projects, for the five concepts presented in the text. John Altorio felt that a deadline was needed for the reception of the photographs. Len Novak stated that the photographs should arrive in Montréal within ten days.

Richard Gaudreau pointed out that the historical part of the text was excluded in the french version. Michael Hough suggested that the history be excluded in both the english and french versions. The less there is to read, the more likely it is to be read.

Macklin Hancock commented on the success of the colour choice, layout and selection of photographs. Michael Hough suggested that telephone numbers be included. Carlos Martinez added that \$1,000.00 was needed for layout. Len Novak approved this, and stressed that the brochures should be available at the congress date.

MOVED: Michael Hough moved that the brochure design be approved, with the title resolved, and that the brochure be as short as possible, history not being important.

2nd: Victor Ford Carried.

(MEETING ADJOURNED UNTIL SUNDAY; MARCH 24, 1984)

BOG
March 24 '85
Montréal
Archives
DAY - MARCH 24, 1984

reorganize the agenda due to time constraints.
Board members.

11. Archives

Len Novak announced that archive material would have to be signed away to the government of Canada, and asked if anyone had any reservations with regard to this. Marie Kippen asked if the CSLA would be able to borrow material. Len Novak said that conditions regarding the external use of archive material would be looked into.

12. Provincial Reports

APALA
Report given by Reinhart Petersmann - see attached.

AAPQ

Report given by Richard Gaudreau.- see attached.
John Altorio mentioned that Ontario once had membership cards. He is not sure why this system was dropped.

UALA

Report given by Macklin Hancock - see attached.

MALA

Report given by Charles Thomsen - see attached.
Reinhart Petersmann suggested that Peter Jacobs get involved with the boulevard tree issue. Charles Thomsen suggested that the two included resolutions be reiterated by the other associations.

SALA

Report given by Victor Ford - see attached.
Len Novak suggested planning a student event at the congress. Macklin Hancock asked where the members live. Victor Ford replied that they are in Regina and Saskatoon.

AALA

Report given by Marie Kippen - see attached.

BCSLA

Report given by Art Cowie - see attached.
Victor Ford wondered if the disillusionment of young professionals could be an agenda item. Art Cowie added that they are trying to get younger landscape architects into the municipal level of government, in any position, hoping that the situation will gradually change as a result of this. Macklin Hancock asked where most of the landscape architects are in B.C. Art Cowie replied that they are mostly in Vancouver, as it is difficult to survive in smaller towns.

13. Discussion and Resolution of the proposal of Extended Membership

Presented by Len Novak - see attached brief.
- see also item #24.

Victor Ford stated that the SALA was in favour of the proposal. Charles Thomsen indicated that the MALA would welcome added membership if the CSLA categories were parallel with the provincial categories of membership. John Altorio was in agreement with the proposal. Art Cowie supported the proposal, although it had not yet been discussed at the BCSLA board level.

Reinhart Petersmann mentioned that local bylaws would have to be changed. Marie Kippen was in support of the proposal, but felt that they should be called something else rather than members; perhaps subscribers.

Macklin Hancock was concerned with problems with identity, and confusion which could slow down certification in Canada. They also don't want a flood of people in Ontario. Len Novak stated that there are some people in Ontario who feel that this has created a closed shop, and feel alienated because of it.

Len Novak added that because of the narrow definition of the profession, Guelph was directing their efforts towards the ASLA. They need to have alignment to the CSLA. They would not want membership if they were denegated to subscriber, etc. He mentioned that with the ASLA, licensing is apart.. Any landscape architect with a degree can be a member of the ASLA. Macklin Hancock remarked that few people are licensed in the United States, and that the OALA feels that everyone should have a stamp.

John Altorio mentioned that one can be an affiliate or an associate member of the ASLA. Len Novak stated that that variation is possible. Marie Kippen mentioned that they would have voting rights. Richard Gaudreau suggested that the change be made at the component level, who propose the categories. Reinhart Petersmann added that component associations must change their bylaws.

John Altorio felt that the major concern was that the lack of control by the association was more important than gaining members. He felt that they should not be full members, and should have a degree in landscape architecture. Len Novak indicated that the principle was access to the CSLA. Art Cowie felt that they should be affiliate or associate, but not professional members.

Reinhart Petersmann suggested that the CSLA try to attract more members by sending more bulletins. Len Novak felt that that could also be done. Richard Gaudreau was concerned with the consequences. He felt that if members could pay less, they would stay associate members for a longer time. He felt that the subscriber concept should be abandoned.

MOTION: Charles Thomsen moved that all persons who practice landscape architecture in Canada and who hold a degree in landscape architecture from accepted university programs and who are members, candidates or associates of a component association of the CSLA/AAPC would have a similar or parallel category of membership in the CSLA/AAPC.

Who was for, who was against.

2nd: Victor Ford Carried.

14. Report on results of the special meeting among treasurers of CSLA/AAPC, AAPQ and OALA

Presented by John Altorio.

John Altorio indicated that they were dissatisfied with the method for determining IFLA dues, which puts an unfair burden on associations with 21 - 300 members. He suggested that this matter be brought up at the IFLA meeting in Japan. The IFLA 1984-1985 dues were paid for the 485 members at January 1, 1985. If there are more members, they will be paid for.

John Altorio stated that approximately \$300.00 of the \$600.00 still owing to IFLA was due to a discrepancy between actual and declared membership. IFLA had charged for declared membership. The other

approximately \$300.00 was for interest on late dues in 1983-1984.

MOTION: Victor Ford moved that the CSLA does not pay for the approximately \$300.00 owed to IFLA with regards to the discrepancy of actual membership, and that the CSLA pays the other approximately \$300.00 if it is based on actual membership.

2nd: Art Cowie Carried.

John Altorio noted that the fiscal year is not the same for all of the component associations, and wondered if the component associations would be willing to change, so that the fiscal year for all component associations would end December 31. Marie-Claude Bergevin had reacted positively to changing the AAPQ's end of fiscal year from March 31 to January 31.

Victor Ford noted that the dues payment worked out fine this year, so it should be able to continue in the same manner next year. Macklin Hancock noted that the CSLA was out of step. Richard Gaudreau stated that the AAPQ would see what they could do about changing their fiscal year.

John Altorio added that an executive director would be ideal. Len Novak thanked John Altorio for his work on this report, and will convey his thanks to Marie-Claude Bergevin and Edward Fife.

15. Brochure

Len Novak indicated that Carlos Martinez needed to know how many brochures to print. Victor Ford felt that 15,000 was not enough for a five year period. John Altorio added that if fewer copies were printed, corrections and changes could be more easily made. Len Novak mentioned that the CSLA gets requests for about 180 brochures a year. Macklin Hancock asked what the basis of distributions among component associations was. Art Cowie suggested a lump sum for each component association, then an added amount based on the membership. Richard Gaudreau added that offices would like to use them as a promotional device. Macklin Hancock wondered if offices should be charged for the brochures. Art Cowie suggested that the component associations distribute them as they wish. Reinhart Petersmann suggested that they be distributed pro-rata, as it is part of the core program.

MOTION: Charles Thomsen moved that 10,000 English brochures and 5,000 French brochures be printed, that 30% of the brochures go to the CSLA, and that the rest be distributed pro-rata.

2nd: Reinhart Petersmann Carried.

16. IFLA Issues

Len Novaks suggested that Peter Jacobs become the IFLA delegate.

MOTION: Art Cowie moved that Peter Jacobs be the principal IFLA delegate and that Phil Tattersfield be the second delegate for one year (1985). Peter Jacobs will have a three year term (1985-1988). Both will get \$1,000.00 per year.

2nd: Reinhart Petersmann Carried.

ACTION

Len Novak suggested that the IFLA delegate prepare a report which addresses the financial relationship of the CSLA to IFLA, and the effectiveness of IFLA.

Len Novak indicated that Richard Pavelek had agreed that the BCSLA pay half of the translation services for the IFLA 1981 conference. Art Cowie stated that he would honour Richard Pavelek's agreement.

MOTION: Marie Kippen moved that the resolution of IFLA 1981 conference overrun of translation services be split between the BCSLA and IFLA.

2nd: Reinhart Petersmann Carried.

17. Approval of Nominees for President-Elect for 1985-1986.

Len Novak announced that Cornelia Oberlander is willing to run for President-Elect. He will advertise for further nominations from the membership at large.

Macklin Hancock asked if being from the west was a criteria for nomination. Len Novak confirmed that by tradition, the presidency changes from west to east each year, with the division being the Manitoba/Ontario border.

MOTION: Charles Thomsen moved that the nomination of Cornelia Oberlander for President-Elect is acceptable.

2nd: Victor Ford Carried.

18. Discussion of the CSLA/AAPC Relationship with the ASLA.

Len Novak indicated that a letter has been received from the ASLA president Robert Mortensen proposing a meeting. Len Novak indicated that he would like to establish a more formal relationship with the ASLA. He suggested the invitation of presidents to annual meetings, and the sharing of such things as continuing education services. He also suggested joint research, and joint stands on international concerns with regards to the environment.

Len Novak added that he has practical reservations with regards to the formation of a chapter of the ASLA in Ontario. He felt that the CSLA should have been formally advised of this. He added that the ASLA were led to believe that the OALA and the CSLA had accepted the formation of a chapter in Ontario. He indicated that Robert Mortensen was willing to come to the meeting in Saskatoon.

March 8⁵ meeting

Len Novak recommended that a committee be formed to study the positive aspects of the relationship of the CSLA to the ASLA. He asked for guidance on what they should do, and the timing of it.

Macklin Hancock mentioned that the OALA has no evidence of the ASLA Ontario chapter. John Altorio suggested that a representative of the CSLA (not necessarily a board member) act as overseer of the contact between the CSLA and the ASLA; e.g. as chairman of the committee. Art Cowie suggested that the president continue to take his energy into the next year, as past president. John Altorio suggested that the board instruct the president to proceed in this matter, and to continue as past president.

Charles Thomsen noted that it's hard to legislate what you can and can't join. Macklin Hancock indicated that the OALA is concerned, and felt a necessity to quantify the problem. John Altorio felt that the ASLA chapter in Ontario conflicts with the OALA and the CSLA, and that it is impossible to get rid of. He added that it should not be entertained elsewhere.

Len Novak said that he met with Ken Buck and Walter Kemp who felt that it was not competitive, but wanted to back a winner.

MOTION: Victor Ford moved that the dialogue with the ASLA be maintained with the objective of expressing the Canadian viewpoint on the relationship of the CSLA and the ASLA, and that a committee be formed to assist the president in establishing the objectives on this position in preparation for the upcoming meeting of the CSLA and the ASLA in Saskatoon.

2nd: Arthur Cowie Carried.

Len Novak suggested Jim Taylor as the chairman of this committee. He also suggested Ken Dockham and Ken Tilden. Richard Gaudreau suggested Mariette Fyfe-Fortin.

19. Douglas Paterson's Request for Assistance at UBC.

Len Novak indicated that the Department of Landscape Architecture at UBC had had it's funds cut, then was put on probation for one year, because of budget cuts. He suggested that members and component associations write letters of support to the president of UBC.

Richard Gaudreau asked if this was an isolated case. Charles Thomsen indicated that the University of Manitoba had lost all part-time staff, and had, as a faculty, created an endowment fund, to which each staff member donates 1% of his salary.

Len Novak indicated that a Research and Community Development Trust has been established. If the program continues after a one year review period, funds donated would be put into supporting this fund. Art Cowie added that if UBC sees that the Landscape Architecture Department has this support, they may be more willing to support themselves.

MOTION: Victor Ford moved that a pledge of \$1,000.00 be sent

to the Research and Community Development Trust fund of the landscape architecture program at the University of British Columbia.

2nd: Reinhart Petersmann Carried.

20. Liability Insurance Coverage

Len Novak handed out Richard Pavelek's questionnaire on liability insurance coverage, and asked each component president to reproduce and distribute it to their members, then gather and forward the questionnaires to Richard Pavelek.

21. Logo Competition

Len Novak indicated that he was looking for ways to involve people, and suggested a logo/letterhead/banner competition. Art Cowie suggested concentrating on the banner. Len Novak suggested having a cash prize, and the deadline at congress, where all members present could be the judges.

22. President's Expenses

Len Novak verified that the president's travel expenses could include more than the air fare.

23. Discussion of Support for a changed, more Regional Approach to the CSLA/AAPC Professional Awards Program

Len Novak indicated that the intent of the changed program is that it serve across the country. He added that Ontario has now agreed to join the CSLA Awards Program. Entries would be made once on a standard format. Regional winners would advance to a national competition.

Art Cowie asked if you can ever submit the same proposal twice. Len Novak replied that there was a time element.

MOTION: Richard Gaudreau moved that the board support the proposal by Arnie Budrevics for a changed, more regional approach to the CSLA/AAPC Professional Awards Program.

2nd: Marie Kippen Carried.

24. Next Meeting of the Board of Governors

Victor Ford indicated that the board of governors meeting was set for July 4, 1985, at the Bessborough Hotel in Saskatoon. Len Novak indicated that Robert Mortensen could meet with them on July 4, and suggested that the afternoon of July 3 be set aside for a tentative meeting, if more time be needed.

MOTION: Len Novak moved that Richard Gaudreau and Sandra Donaldson be thanked for everything they have done to make this spring board of governors' meeting a success.

2nd: Charles Thomsen Carried.

Richard Gaudreau asked if there was any thought given to the fees of CSLA associate members. Len Novak replied that his recommendation was full fee. Richard Gaudreau suggested 50% of the full fee. Victor Ford wondered if expenses would be covered. Reinhart Petersmann added that the core plan was based on a flat rate. Len Novak added that direct member costs were based on mailings.

MOVED: Richard Gaudreau moved that the CSLA propose in principal 50% of the component association CSLA portion as extended membership fees.

2nd: Charles Thomsen Carried.

Richard Gaudreau indicated that this was his last meeting, and that he really appreciated serving on the CSLA board of governors. He thanked everyone for their help and understanding, and indicated that he would like to continue contributing to the CSLA in other ways. Macklin Hancock thanked Richard Gaudreau for his outstanding and excellent contributions to the CSLA, and his statesmanship. Len Novak noted that the AAPQ had brought much innovation to the CSLA, including bilingual publications, reciprocity, and the brochure design, and thanked Richard for his contributions.

Marie Kippen indicated that the AALA has a member from the Yukon/Northwest Territories, and would like to continue attracting members from that area. She wondered if there were any objections to this. Charles Thomsen felt that it was okay. Art Cowie felt that the potential members should have their own choice, and would rather that the AALA did not colonize.

Len Novak indicated that the CSLA would support air fare on a seat sale for a member of the APALA for a meeting on reciprocity.

Marie Kippen indicated that this was her last meeting, and that she was looking forward to contributing to the CSLA in other ways. Len Novak thanked Marie for her contribution to the CSLA.

Victor Ford also indicated that this was his last meeting, and that he had appreciated serving on the board. Len Novak noted that Victor had been the longest running representative on the board of governors, and that his quality had increased with time. Macklin Hancock added that Victor's part in the CSLA had been excellent. Len Novak stated that, since Victor has acted as a trustee, he should produce a report on this.

25. Adjournment

MOVED: Richard Gaudreau moved to adjourn the meeting.

2nd: Macklin Hancock Carried.